

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/612,671	07/02/2003	Jeremiah E. Halley	38190/266715	3462	
826	7590 10/07/2004		EXAMINER		
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE		
	MERICA PLAZA TRYON STREET, SUITE 40	000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	E, NC 28280-4000		1725		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant/o	-7/				
		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/612,671 Examiner	HALLEY ET AL.	,				
•		Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Lynne Edmondson	1725	200				
Period for Reply	ours on the sover sheet that the s	on coponacion addit	.33				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ly 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>7/2/03</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National St	age				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
:	•						
Attachmant/s)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date-7/19/04	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-1	o2)				

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daines et al. (USPN 5035411).

Daines teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 2 lines 22-32). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise dissimilar metals (Ni and steel, col 1 lines 37-46). See also figures 1, 3 and 4.

3. Claims 1-3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jennings (USPN 5697545).

Jennings teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 1 lines 25-52 and col 2 lines 49-56).

However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise dissimilar metals (col 3 lines 50-63 and col 7 lines 15-22)

Art Unit: 1725

including Al or Cu. At least one contact surface is rectangular (col 3 lines 58-63 and figure 10).

4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker et al. (USPN 6219916 B1).

Walker teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 1 lines 25-52 and col 2 lines 49-56) wherein at least one contact surface (48) comprises a rectangular block (figure 2). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise Ti alloys (col 7 lines 56-65).

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Norris et al. (USPN 6669447 B2).

Norris teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (col 4 lines 52-64) wherein at least one contact surface (40, 72) comprises a rectangular block (figure 3). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise Ti alloys (col 2 lines 55,56).

6. Claims 1-3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Foster et al. (US 2002/0036225 A1).

Art Unit: 1725

Foster teaches a preform comprising first and second members which have been friction welded, particularly linearly (paragraphs 18-20). However it is noted that any type of welding process will yield a similar weld joint. The structural members comprise dissimilar materials, one of which is a Ti alloy (paragraphs 5 and 27).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Luc (dissimilar metals, Ti, rectangular block, elongate weld) and Krueger et al. (USPN 5470524, both Ti, elongate weld).
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1725

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571)

272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LRE

LYNNE R. EDMONDSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5